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## ABSTRACT


#### Abstract

A local move called a $C_{n}$-move is closely related to Vassiliev invariants. A $C_{n}$-distance between two knots $K$ and $L$, denoted by $d_{C_{n}}(K, L)$, is the minimum number of $C_{n}$-moves needed to transform $K$ into $L$. Let $p$ and $q$ be natural numbers with $p>q \geq 1$. In this paper, we show that for any pair of knots $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ with $d_{C_{n}}\left(K_{1}, K_{2}\right)=p$ and for any given natural number $m$, there exist infinitely many knots $J_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots)$ such that $d_{C_{n}}\left(K_{1}, J_{i}\right)=q$ and $d_{C_{n}}\left(J_{i}, K_{2}\right)=p-q$, and they have the same Vassiliev invariants of order less than or equal to $m$. In the case that $n=1$ or 2 , the knots $J_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots)$ satisfy more conditions.
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## 1. Introduction

When we have a knot invariant $v$ which takes values in some abelian group, we can define an invariant of singular knots by the Vassiliev skein relation:

$$
v\left(K_{D}\right)=v\left(K_{+}\right)-v\left(K_{-}\right)
$$

where a singular knot is an immersion of a circle into $R^{3}$ whose singularities are transversal double points only and $K_{D}, K_{+}$and $K_{-}$denote the diagrams of singular knots which are identical except near one point as is shown in Fig. 1.

An invariant $v$ is called $a$ Vassiliev invariant of order $n$ and denoted by $v_{n}$, if $n$ is the smallest integer such that $v$ vanishes on all singular knots with more than $n$ double points([5]).

A standard $C_{n}$-move is a local move depicted in Fig. 2. A $C_{1}$-move is defined as a usual crossing change and a $C_{2}$-move is the same move as a Delta move([10], [11]).


Fig. 1.

Two knots are called $C_{n}$-equivalent if they can be transformed into each other by a finite sequence of standard $C_{n}$-moves. M. N. Goussarov([6]) and K. Habiro([8]) showed the following theorem independently.

Theorem 1.1 $[6,8]$. Two knots are $C_{n}$-equivalent if and only if they have the same Vassiliev invariants of order less than $n$.


Fig. 2
$C_{n}$-moves are originally defined by Habiro in [7]. In [15] and [19], they are defined as a family of local moves. It is known that any kind of $C_{n}$-move can be realized by a finite sequence of standard $C_{n}$-moves.

If a knot $K$ can be transformed into $L$ by standard $C_{n}$-moves, we denote the minimum number of $C_{n}$-moves needed to transform $K$ into $L$ by $d_{C_{n}}(K, L)$ and call it the $C_{n}$-distance between $K$ and $L$. In this paper, we use the notation $d_{G}$ and $d_{\Delta}$ instead of $d_{C_{1}}$ and $d_{C_{2}}$, respectively.

Let $\Gamma_{i}(i \in N)$ be a $C_{n}$-equivalence class of knots in $R^{3}$, then $\left(\Gamma_{i}, d_{C_{n}}\right)$ is a metric space. We note that in the case that $n=1$ and 2 we have only one $C_{n}$-equivalence
class. Let $\ell$ be a natural number and $K$ a knot in $\Gamma_{i}$. Let $B_{\ell}^{C_{n}}(K)=\left\{K^{\prime} \in\right.$ $\left.\Gamma_{i} \mid d_{C_{n}}\left(K, K^{\prime}\right) \leq \ell\right\}$. It is a ball whose center is $K$. We consider the intersection of two balls.

In [1], Baader showed the following.

Theorem 1.2 [1]. For any pair of oriented knots $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ with $d_{G}\left(K_{1}, K_{2}\right)=$ 2 , there are infinitely many knots $J_{j}(j=1,2, \ldots)$ such that $d_{G}\left(K_{1}, J_{j}\right)=$ $d_{G}\left(J_{j}, K_{2}\right)=1$.

From here $p$ and $q$ denote natural numbers with $p>q \geq 1$. Theorem 1.2 can be extended to the case that $d_{G}\left(K_{1}, K_{2}\right)=p, d_{G}\left(K_{1}, J_{j}\right)=q$ and $d_{G}\left(J_{j}, K_{2}\right)=p-q$.

In the case that $n=2$, the first author shows Theorem 1.3.

Theorem 1.3 [9]. For any pair of oriented knots $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ with $d_{\Delta}\left(K_{1}, K_{2}\right)=p$, there are infinitely many knots $J_{j}(j=1,2, \ldots)$ such that $d_{\Delta}\left(K_{1}, J_{j}\right)=q$ and $d_{\Delta}\left(J_{j}, K_{2}\right)=p-q$.

In the proofs of Theorem 1.2 and 1.3, it is shown that the Conway polynomials of $J_{j}$ and $J_{k}$ are different if $j \neq k$. Taniyama extended Theorem 1.2 and the case $p=2$ in Theorem 1.3 to the following.

Theorem 1.4[18]. Let $m$ and $n$ be non-negative integers. Suppose oriented knots $K_{0}, K_{1}, \ldots, K_{m}, K_{m+1}, \ldots K_{m+n}$ satisfy $d_{G}\left(K_{0}, K_{i}\right)=1(i=1,2, \ldots, m)$ and $d_{\Delta}\left(K_{0}, K_{i}\right)=1(i=m+1, m+2, \ldots, m+n)$. Then there are infinitely many knots $J_{j}(j=1,2, \ldots)$ such that $d_{G}\left(J_{j}, K_{i}\right)=1(i=0,1, \ldots, m, j=1,2, \ldots)$ and $d_{\Delta}\left(J_{j}, K_{i}\right)=1(i=m+1, m+2, \ldots, m+n, j=1,2, \ldots)$.

Recently, Baader shows Theorem 1.5.

Theorem 1.5 [2]. Let $m$ be a natural number and $K$ a knot. For any pair of oriented knots $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ with $d_{G}\left(K_{1}, K_{2}\right)=2$, there exists a knot $K^{\prime}$ which satisfies the following:
(1) $d_{G}\left(K_{1}, K^{\prime}\right)=d_{G}\left(K^{\prime}, K_{2}\right)=1$ and
(2) for any $v_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, m), v_{i}\left(K^{\prime}\right)=v_{i}(K)$.

We have Theorem 1.6 as a generalization of Theorem 1.5.

Theorem 1.6. Let $m$ be a natural number and $K$ a knot. For any pair of oriented knots $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ with $d_{G}\left(K_{1}, K_{2}\right)=p$, there are infinitely many knots $J_{j}(j=$ $1,2, \ldots)$ which satisfy the following:
(1) $d_{G}\left(K_{1}, J_{j}\right)=q$ and $d_{G}\left(J_{j}, K_{2}\right)=p-q(j=1,2, \ldots)$,
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(2) for any $v_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, m), v_{i}\left(J_{j}\right)=v_{i}(K)(j=1,2, \ldots)$ and
(3) $\nabla_{J_{j}}(z)=\nabla_{J_{k}}(z)(j \neq k, j, k=1,2, \ldots)$, where $\nabla_{J}(z)$ is the Conway polynomial of $J$.

Theorem 1.7 is a generalization of Theorem 1.3.

Theorem 1.7. Let $m$ be a natural number. For any pair of oriented knots $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ with $d_{\Delta}\left(K_{1}, K_{2}\right)=p$, there are infinitely many knots $J_{j}(j=1,2, \ldots)$ which satisfy the following:
(1) $d_{\Delta}\left(K_{1}, J_{j}\right)=q$ and $d_{\Delta}\left(J_{j}, K_{2}\right)=p-q(j=1,2, \ldots)$,
(2) for any $v_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, m), v_{i}\left(J_{j}\right)=v_{i}\left(J_{k}\right)(j \neq k, j, k=1,2, \ldots)$ and
(3) $\nabla_{J_{j}}(z)=\nabla_{J_{k}}(z)(j \neq k, j, k=1,2, \ldots)$.

In the case that $n \geq 3$, we obtain Theorem 1.8.

Theorem 1.8. Let $m$ be a natural number. For any pair of oriented knots $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ with $d_{C_{n}}\left(K_{1}, K_{2}\right)=p$, there are infinitely many knots $J_{j}(j=1,2, \ldots)$ which satisfy the following:
(1) $d_{C_{n}}\left(K_{1}, J_{j}\right)=q$ and $d_{C_{n}}\left(J_{j}, K_{2}\right)=p-q(j=1,2, \ldots)$ and
(2) for any $v_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, m), v_{i}\left(J_{j}\right)=v_{i}\left(J_{k}\right)(j \neq k, j, k=1,2, \ldots)$.

In the proof of Theorem 1.8, we will show that the Conway polynomials of $J_{j}$ and $J_{k}$ are different if $j \neq k$.

## 2. $C_{n}$-moves and Jacobi diagrams

A tangle $T$ is a disjoint union of properly embedded arcs in the unit 3-ball $B^{3}$. A tangle $T$ is trivial if there exists a properly embedded disk in $B^{3}$ that contains T. A local move is a pair of trivial tangles $\left(T_{1}, T_{2}\right)$ with $\partial T_{1}=\partial T_{2}$ such that for each component $t$ of $T_{1}$ there exists a component $u$ of $T_{2}$ with $\partial t=\partial u$. Two local moves $\left(T_{1}, T_{2}\right)$ and $\left(U_{1}, U_{2}\right)$ are equivalent, if there is an orientation preserving selfhomeomorphism $\psi: B^{3} \rightarrow B^{3}$ such that $\psi\left(T_{i}\right)$ and $U_{i}$ are ambient isotopic in $B^{3}$ relative to $\partial B^{3}$ for $i=1,2$.


Fig. 3

Let $\left(T_{1}, T_{2}\right)$ be a local move, $t_{1}$ a component of $T_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ a component of $T_{2}$


Fig. 4
such that $\partial t_{1}=\partial t_{2}$. Replacing $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ by hooked arcs in Fig. 3, we obtain a new kind of local move. This local move is called a double of $\left(T_{1}, T_{2}\right)$ with respect to the components $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$. $A C_{1}$-move is a local move as illustrated in Fig. 4. $A$ $C_{k+1}$-move is a double of a $C_{k}$-move. Then, there exist some kinds of $C_{n}$-move and any kind of $C_{n}$-move is realized by a finite sequence of standard $C_{n}$-moves.

A $C_{n}$-move is represented by the band sum of the link called the $C_{n}$-link model. The move in Fig. 5 is equivalent to the standard $C_{n}$-move. The link in Fig. 6 is the $C_{n}$-link model for the standard $C_{n}$-move. For details, refer to [15] or [19].


Fig. 5

By $K^{m}$, we denote a singular knot with $m$ double points. From the definition of the Vassiliev invariant, $v_{m}\left(K^{m}\right)$ does not change by a crossing change and it is determined by the positions of the double points on $K^{m}$. To show the positions of double points, the notion of a chord diagram is introduced in [5]. A chord diagram of order $n$ is an oriented circle with $n$ chords. By connecting the preimages of each double point by a chord, we may associate the chord diagram to a singular knot. The value of $v_{n}$ for a chord diagram of order $n$ is defined as the value of it for a singular knot with $n$ double points that is associated with the chord diagram. In the


Fig. 6
additive group generated by the chord diagrams of order $n$, the relation in Fig. 7 is called the $4 T$ relation.


Fig. 7

Chord diagrams are generalized to Jacobi diagrams in [3]. A Jacobi diagram of order $n$ is a trivalent graph with $2 n$ vertices. It is a union of a circle and an internal graph $G$. The circle is oriented and the other edges are all unoriented. Each trivalent vertex on $G$ has an orientation, that is a cyclic ordering of the edges incident to it. In the additive group generated by the Jacobi diagrams of order $n$, the relation in Fig. 8 is called the STU relation. The IHX relation in Fig. 9 and the antisymmetry relation in Fig. 10 can be obtained as a consequence of STU relations.

Let $A_{n}$ be the additive group generated by the chord diagrams of order $n$ modulo the $4 T$ relation and $B_{n}$ the additive group generated by the Jacobi diagrams of order $n$ modulo the STU relation. Then the isomorphism between $A_{n}$ and $B_{n}$ is induced by the inclusion of chord diagrams into Jacobi diagrams [3].

A one-branch tree diagram $T$ is a special kind of Jacobi diagram whose internal graph $G$ is isomorphic to a standard $n$-tree in Fig. 11 preserving the vertex orientations $([14])$. Label the branches of the standard $n$-tree as in Fig. 11. We may label the branches of $G$ under the isomorophism between $G$ and the standard $n$-tree.


Fig. 8


Fig. 9


Fig. 10

And number the vertices of the circle of $T$ by $0,1,2, \ldots, n$ in the counterclockwise direction such that the vertex on the circle which corresponds to the branch 0 of $G$ is numbered by 0 . The correspondence between the labels of branches of $G$ and the numbers of the corresponding vertices on the circle determines a permutation $\sigma \in S_{n}$. Conversely, if a permutation $\sigma \in S_{n}$ is given, a unique one-branch tree diagram $T$ can be constructed. Then we denote a one-branch tree diagram by $T_{\sigma}$. By STU relations, a one-branch tree diagram can be expressed as a linear combination of chord diagrams. The value of $v_{n}$ for a one-branch tree diagram of order $n$ is defined as the linear combination of the values for the chord diagrams.

A one-branch tree diagram is closely related to a standard $C_{n}$-move.

Theorem 2.1[16]. If a knot $K^{\prime}$ is obtained from a knot $K$ by a single standard $C_{n}$-move, then

$$
v_{n}\left(K^{\prime}\right)-v_{n}(K)= \pm v_{n}\left(T_{\sigma}\right)
$$

where $T_{\sigma}$ is a one-branch tree diagram of order $n$.
In Theorem 2.1, the one-branch tree diagram $T_{\sigma}$ is determined by the positions
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Fig. 11
of arcs on a knot $K$ in the performed $C_{n}$-move and the sign of the formula depends only on the orientations of arcs in the $C_{n}$-move.

For a singular knot, Theorem 2.1' holds.
Theorem 2.1'[13]. If a singular knot $L^{k}$ with $k$ double points is obtained from a singular knot $K^{k}$ by a single standard $C_{n}$-move, then

$$
v_{k+n}\left(L^{k}\right)-v_{k+n}\left(K^{k}\right)= \pm v_{k+n}\left(T_{\sigma}\right),
$$

where $T_{\sigma}$ is a Jacobi diagram of order $k+n$ whose internal graph is isomorphic to the union of $k$ chords and a one-branch tree diagram of order $n$.

Here, we consider a new kind of $C_{m+1}$-move. By a $C_{m+1}^{(i)}$-move, we denote a special kind of $C_{m+1}$-move which is obtained from the standard $C_{m}$-move by changing the arc labelled $i(2 \leq i \leq m-2)$ to hooked arcs. Fig. 12 shows a $C_{m+1}^{(m-3)}$-move which is used for the proof of Theorem 2.5. A $C_{m+1}^{(m-2)}$-move is used for the proof of Theorem 2.6.


Fig. 12

By the results of [17], we have following two lemmas for a $C_{m+1}^{(i)}$-move.

Lemma 2.2[17]. If a knot $K^{\prime}$ is obtained from a knot $K$ by a single $C_{m+1}^{(i)}$-move, then

$$
v_{m+1}(K)-v_{m+1}\left(K^{\prime}\right)= \pm v_{m+1}\left(T_{\sigma}^{i}\right),
$$

where $T_{\sigma}^{i}$ is the one-branch tree diagram of order $m+1$ whose internal graph is isomorphic to the graph in Fig. 13 and $\sigma \in S_{m+1}$.


Fig. 13

In the case that the Jacobi diagram has the internal graph which is isomorphic to the graph in Fig. 13, the correspondence between the labels of branches and the numbers of the vertices on the circle determines a permutation $\sigma \in S_{m+1}$ as in a one-branch tree diagram.

Lemma 2.3[17]. If a knot $K^{\prime}$ is obtained from a knot $K$ by a single $C_{m+1}^{(i)}$-move, then

$$
\nabla_{K}(z)=\nabla_{K^{\prime}}(z),
$$

where $\nabla_{K}(z)$ is the Conway polynomial of $K$.

By the same way of the proof of Lemma 3.2 in [13], we obtain Lemma 2.4.

Lemma 2.4. Let $T_{i d}^{i}$ be the Jacobi diagram of order $m+1$ in Lemma 2.2 whose permutation $\sigma \in S_{m+1}$ is the identity, then

$$
V^{(m+1)}\left(T_{i d}^{i}\right)=3(-2)^{m-1}(m+1)!,
$$

where $V^{(m+1)}(K)$ is the $m+1$ th derivative of the Jones polynomial of a knot $K$ evaluated at 1.

In the standard $C_{n}$-move in Fig. 2, let $c_{1}, c_{21}, c_{22}, \ldots, c_{n 1}, c_{n 2}$ be the crossing points in Fig. 14.


Fig. 14

By $K\left(\begin{array}{cccc}1 & 2 & \ldots & n \\ \cdot & i_{2} & \ldots & i_{n}\end{array}\right) \quad\left(i_{j}= \pm 1, j=2,3, \ldots, n\right)$, we denote the singular knot which is obtained from $K$ by the following:
Collapse $C_{1}$ to a double point. If $i_{j}=1$, collapse $c_{j 1}$ to a double point. If $i_{j}=-1$, change a crossing at $c_{j 1}$ and collapse $c_{j 2}$ to a double point.

To show Theorem 2.1, Lemma 2.5 is proved in [16].
Lemma 2.5[16]. Lf a knot $L$ is obtained from a knot $K$ by a single standard $C_{n}$-move, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
v_{n}(K)-v_{n}(L)= \pm \sum_{\substack{i_{j}= \pm 1 \\
j=2,3, \ldots, n}} \prod i_{j} v_{n}\left(K\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 2 \ldots & n \\
\cdot i_{2} \ldots i_{n}
\end{array}\right)\right) . \\
\end{gathered}
$$

We note that Lemma 2.5 holds for Vassiliev invariants of any order. By making $\sum_{i_{j}= \pm 1} \prod i_{j} K\left(\begin{array}{ccc}1 & 2 & \ldots \\ \cdot & i_{2} & \ldots \\ i_{n}\end{array}\right)$ to a one-branch tree diagram by STU $j=2,3, \ldots, n$
relations, Theorem 2.1 is obtained. From Theorem 2.1' and Lemma 2.5, we have Theorem 2.6.

Theorem 2.6. If a knot $L$ is obtained from a knot $K$ by a local move in Fig. 15, then

$$
v_{m+n+1}(K)-v_{m+n+1}(L)= \pm v_{m+n+1}\left(T_{\sigma}\right),
$$

where $T_{\sigma}$ is a one-branch tree diagram of order $m+n+1$.


Fig. 15

Proof. By $K^{\prime}$ and $L^{\prime}$, we denote the knots that is obtained from $K$ and $L$ in Fig. 15 by performing $C_{n}$-moves and deleting the $C_{n}$-link models, respectively. By Lemma 2.5,

$$
\begin{gather*}
v_{m+n+1}(K)-v_{m+n+1}\left(K^{\prime}\right)= \pm \sum_{\substack{i_{j}= \pm 1 \\
j=2,3, \ldots, n}} \prod i_{j} v_{m+n+1}\left(K\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 2 \ldots & n \\
\cdot i_{2} \ldots & \ldots i_{n}
\end{array}\right)\right) .  \tag{2.1}\\
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
v_{m+n+1}(L)-v_{m+n+1}\left(L^{\prime}\right)= \pm \sum_{\substack{i_{j}= \pm 1 \\
j=2,3, \ldots, n}} \prod i_{j} v_{m+n+1}\left(L\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 2 & \ldots \\
\cdot i_{2} \ldots i_{n}
\end{array}\right)\right) . \\
\hline \tag{2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here, $K\left(\begin{array}{cccc}1 & 2 & \ldots & n \\ \cdot & i_{2} & \ldots & i_{n}\end{array}\right)$ and $L\left(\begin{array}{cccc}1 & 2 & \ldots & n \\ \cdot & i_{2} & \ldots & i_{n}\end{array}\right)$ are singular knots that is obtained from $K$ and $L$ by making crossing points to double points in the $C_{n}$-link models, respectively. Since $K^{\prime}$ and $L^{\prime}$ are same knots, by (2.1) and (2.2)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad v_{m+n+1}(K)-v_{m+n+1}(L) \\
& = \pm \sum_{\quad i_{j}= \pm 1} \prod \quad i_{j}\left\{v_{m+n+1}\left(K\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 2 & \ldots & n \\
\cdot i_{2} & \ldots & i_{n}
\end{array}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.-v_{m+n+1}\left(L\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 2 & \ldots \\
\cdot i_{2} & \ldots & i_{n}
\end{array}\right)\right)\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

If we perform $C_{m+1}$-moves on $K\left(\begin{array}{cccc}1 & 2 & \ldots & n \\ \cdot i_{2} & \ldots & i_{n}\end{array}\right)$ two times, we have $L\left(\begin{array}{cccc}1 & 2 & \ldots & n \\ \cdot & i_{2} & \ldots & i_{n}\end{array}\right)$. Let $M\left(\begin{array}{cccc}1 & 2 & \ldots & n \\ \cdot & i_{2} & \ldots & i_{n}\end{array}\right)$ be the singular knot that is obtained from $K\left(\begin{array}{cccc}1 & 2 & \ldots & n \\ \cdot & i_{2} & \ldots & i_{n}\end{array}\right)$ by a single $C_{m+1}$-move as shown in Fig. 16 .


Fig. 16

By Theorem 2.1',

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{m+n+1}\left(K\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 2 & \ldots & n \\
\cdot & i_{2} & \ldots & i_{n}
\end{array}\right)\right)-v_{m+n+1}\left(M\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 2 & \ldots & n \\
\cdot & i_{2} & \ldots & i_{n}
\end{array}\right)\right. \\
= & \pm v_{m+n+1}\left(T_{\sigma}^{\prime}\left(i_{2}, \ldots, i_{n}\right) .\right.  \tag{2.4}\\
& v_{m+n+1}\left(M\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 2 & \ldots & n \\
\cdot & i_{2} & \ldots & i_{n}
\end{array}\right)\right)-v_{m+n+1}\left(L\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 2 & \ldots & n \\
\cdot i_{2} & \ldots & i_{n}
\end{array}\right)\right. \\
= & \pm v_{m+n+1}\left(T_{\sigma}^{\prime \prime}\left(i_{2}, \ldots, i_{n}\right) .\right. \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $T_{\sigma}^{\prime}\left(i_{2} \ldots, i_{n}\right)$ and $T_{\sigma}^{\prime \prime}\left(i_{2}, \ldots, i_{n}\right)$ are Jacobi diagrams of order $m+n+1$ whose internal graphs are the union of $n$ chords and a one-branch tree diagram of order $m+1$. Since the orientations of $m+1$ th arcs are different in the first and the second performed $C_{m}+1$-moves, the signs of (2.4) and (2.5) are opposite. By


Fig. 17
considering the positions of the double points, the internal graph in $T_{\sigma}^{\prime}\left(i_{2} \ldots, i_{n}\right)$ is (a) or (b) in Fig. 17 and that in $T_{\sigma}^{\prime \prime}\left(i_{2}, \ldots, i_{n}\right)$ is the other.

By (2.4) and (2.5),

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{m+n+1}\left(K\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 2 & \ldots & n \\
\cdot & i_{2} & \ldots & i_{n}
\end{array}\right)\right)-v_{m+n+1}\left(L\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 2 & \ldots & n \\
\cdot & i_{2} & \ldots & i_{n}
\end{array}\right)\right. \\
= & \pm\left\{v _ { m + n + 1 } \left(T_{\sigma}^{\prime}\left(i_{2}, \ldots, i_{n}\right)-v_{m+n+1}\left(T_{\sigma}^{\prime \prime}\left(i_{2}, \ldots, i_{n}\right)\right\} .\right.\right. \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.3) and (2.6),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad= \pm \sum_{m+n+1}(K)-v_{m+n+1}(L) \\
& \quad \prod_{j}= \pm 1 \\
& \quad j=2,3, \ldots, n \\
&  \tag{2.7}\\
& \quad-v_{m+n+1}\left(v_{m+n+1}^{\prime \prime}\left(T_{\sigma}^{\prime}\left(i_{2}, \ldots, i_{n}\right)\right\} .\right.
\end{align*}
$$

By the same way of the proof in Theorem 2.1 in [16], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{m+n+1}(K)-v_{m+n+1}(L)= \pm\left\{v_{m+n+1}\left(T_{\sigma}^{\prime}\right)-v_{m+n+1}\left(T_{\sigma}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\} . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In (2.8), $T_{\sigma}^{\prime}$ is the Jacobi diagram of order $m+n+1$ whose internal graph is one of (a) and (b) in Fig. 18 and $T_{\sigma}^{\prime \prime}$ is one whose internal graph is the other.


Fig. 18

By a STU relation, we have

$$
v_{m+n+1}(K)-v_{m+n+1}(L)= \pm v_{m+n+1}\left(T_{\sigma}\right)
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.6.

Remark 2.7. The local move in Theorem 2.6 is equivalent to a band crossing change between a standard $C_{n}$-link model and a standard $C_{m}$-link model in Fig. 19.


Fig. 19

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.6

For a pair of knots $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ with $d_{G}\left(K_{1}, K_{2}\right)=p$, we consider the following sequence between $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$, where adjacent knots are transformed into each other by a crossing change. In the sequence, $d_{G}\left(K_{1}, K^{\prime}\right)=q$. We direct our attention to the part from $K_{1}^{\prime}$ to $K_{2}^{\prime}$ where $d_{G}\left(K_{1}^{\prime}, K_{2}^{\prime}\right)=2$ and $d_{G}\left(K_{1}^{\prime}, K^{\prime}\right)=d_{G}\left(K^{\prime}, K_{2}^{\prime}\right)=1$.

$$
\underbrace{K_{1} \leftrightarrow \cdots \leftrightarrow K_{1}^{\prime} \leftrightarrow K^{\prime}}_{q \text { times crossing changes }} \leftrightarrow K_{2}^{\prime} \leftrightarrow \cdots \leftrightarrow K_{2}
$$

By Theorem 1.5, we may assume that a knot $K^{\prime}$ satisfies the following:
(1) $K^{\prime}$ has a diagram as in Fig. 20 such that if we change a crossing at $A$ on the diagram of $K^{\prime}$, we have a diagram of $K_{1}^{\prime}$ and we change a crossing at $B$, we have a diagram of $K_{2}^{\prime}$, and
(2) for any $v_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots m), v_{i}\left(K^{\prime}\right)=v_{i}(K)$.


Fig. 20

The move in Fig. 21 is equivalent to a $C_{m+1}^{(m-3)}$-move in Fig. 12.


Fig. 21

We consider the move in Fig. 21 such that the permutation of the Jacobi diagram corresponding to the move is identity. By $J_{j}$, we denote the knot that is obtained from $K^{\prime}$ by performing the above move $j$ times as is shown in Fig. 22.


Fig. 22

If we change a crossing at $A, J_{j}$ becomes a diagram of $K_{1}^{\prime}$ and if we change a
crossing at $B, J_{j}$ becomes a diagram of $K_{2}^{\prime}$. Then, $d_{G}\left(K_{1}, J_{j}\right)=q$ and $d_{G}\left(J_{j}, K_{2}\right)=$ $p-q$. A $C_{m+1}^{(m-3)}$-move is a kind of $C_{m+1}$-move. By Theorem 1.1, we have

$$
v_{i}\left(J_{j}\right)=v_{i}\left(K^{\prime}\right)=v_{i}(K)(i=1,2, \ldots, m)
$$

By Lemma 2.3,

$$
\nabla_{J_{j}}(z)=\nabla_{J_{k}}(z)(j \neq k, j, k=1,2, \ldots)
$$

In Lemma 2.2, the sign of the formula is determined only by the orientations of arcs in the performed $C_{m+1}^{(m-3)}$-move as in Theorem 2.1. Since we repeat the same $C_{m+1}^{(m-3)}$-move on the knot $K^{\prime}$, we have

$$
V^{(m+1)}\left(J_{j}\right)-V^{(m+1)}\left(J_{j+1}\right)=V^{(m+1)}\left(J_{j+1}\right)-V^{(m+1)}\left(J_{j+2}\right)
$$

By Lemma 2.4,

$$
V^{(m+1)}\left(J_{i}\right) \neq V^{(m+1)}\left(J_{k}\right)(i \neq k, i, k=1,2, \ldots) .
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.6.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.7

As in the proof of Theorem 1.6, for a pair of knots $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ with $d_{\Delta}\left(K_{1}, K_{2}\right)=p$, we consider the following sequence between $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$, where adjacent knots are transformed into each other by a Delta move. We direct our attention to the part from $K_{1}^{\prime}$ to $K_{2}^{\prime}$ where $d_{\Delta}\left(K_{1}^{\prime}, K_{2}^{\prime}\right)=2$ and $d_{\Delta}\left(K_{1}^{\prime}, K^{\prime}\right)=d_{\Delta}\left(K^{\prime}, K_{2}^{\prime}\right)=1$.

$$
\underbrace{K_{1} \leftrightarrow \cdots \leftrightarrow K_{1}^{\prime} \leftrightarrow K^{\prime}}_{q \text { times Delta moves }} \leftrightarrow K_{2}^{\prime} \leftrightarrow \cdots \leftrightarrow K_{2}
$$

A Delta move is represented by the band sum of a copy of Borromean rings[11]. A knot $K^{\prime}$ has a diagram such that if we delete a copy of Borromean rings $A$, we have a diagram of $K_{1}^{\prime}$ and if we delete a copy of Borromean rings $B$, we have a diagram of $K_{2}^{\prime}$. We can arrange the bands such that the bands $b_{i}(i=0,1,2)$ incident to the Borromean rings $B$ appear in front of the bands $b_{k}^{\prime}(k=0,1,2)$ incident to the Borromean rings $A$ along the knot $K^{\prime}$ by sliding the bands on $K^{\prime}$. And by the symmetry of Borromean rings, we may suppose that bands $b_{0}, b_{1}, b_{2}$, $b_{0}^{\prime}, b_{1}^{\prime}$ and $b_{2}^{\prime}$ appear in this order along the orientation of $K^{\prime}$ as in Fig. 23.

Fig. 23 is deformed into Fig. 24. By performing $C_{m+1}^{(m-2)}$-moves for the knot in Fig. 24 two times, we obtain the knot in Fig. 25. We consider the move from Fig. 24 to Fig. 25 such that the permutation of the Jacobi diagram for the corresponding $C_{m+1}^{(m-2)}$-move is identity.


Fig. 23


Fig. 24

By $J_{j}$, we denote the knot that is obtained from $\mathrm{K}^{\prime}$ by performing the above move $j$ times. By the same way of the proof of Theorem 2.6, we have $d_{\Delta}\left(K_{1}, J_{j}\right)=q$ and $d_{\Delta}\left(J_{j}, K_{2}\right)=p-q$. And we obtain

$$
v_{i}\left(J_{j}\right)=v_{i}\left(J_{k}\right)=v_{i}\left(K^{\prime}\right)
$$

and

$$
\nabla_{J_{j}}(z)=\nabla_{J_{k}}(z)=\nabla_{K^{\prime}}(z)(j \neq k, j, k=1,2, \cdots,)
$$

By the same way of the proof of Theorem 3.6, we have

$$
v_{m+3}\left(J_{j}\right)-v_{m+3}\left(J_{j+1}\right)= \pm v_{m+3}\left(T_{\sigma}\right)
$$

where $T_{\sigma}$ is the Jacobi diagram of order $m+3$ whose internal graph is isomorphic to the graph in Fig. 26.

By Lemma 3.4,

$$
V^{m+3}\left(T_{\sigma}\right)=3(-2)^{m+1}(m+3)!.
$$



Fig. 25


Fig. 26

Therefore,

$$
V^{m+3}\left(J_{j}\right) \neq V^{m+3}\left(J_{k}\right)(j \neq k, j, k=1,2, \cdots)
$$

## 5. Proof of Theorem 1.8

There exisits a $C_{n}$-move which changes the Conway polynomial. As to the onebranch tree diagram corresponding to the move, we have the following lemma by the proof of Theorem 1.3 in [17].

Lemma 4.1. Let $m^{\prime}$ be an even natural number. By $T_{\sigma}$, we denote a one-branch tree diagram of order $m^{\prime}$ whose permutation $\sigma$ satisfies that $\sigma\left(m^{\prime}-1\right)<\sigma(1)<\sigma\left(m^{\prime}\right)$ as in Fig. 27. Then

$$
a_{m^{\prime}}\left(T_{\sigma}\right)= \pm 2
$$

where $a_{m^{\prime}}$ is the coefficient of $z^{m^{\prime}}$ of the Conway polynomial.


Fig. 27

As in sections 3 and 4 , for a pair of knots $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ with $d_{C_{n}}\left(K_{1}, K_{2}\right)=p$, we consider the following sequence, where adjacent knots are transformed into each other by a $C_{n}$-move. We direct our attention to the part from $K_{1}^{\prime}$ to $K_{2}^{\prime}$.

$$
\underbrace{K_{1} \leftrightarrow \cdots \leftrightarrow K_{1}^{\prime} \leftrightarrow K^{\prime}}_{q \text { times } C_{n} \text {-moves }} \leftrightarrow K_{2}^{\prime} \leftrightarrow \cdots \leftrightarrow K_{2}
$$

A knot $K^{\prime}$ has a diagram such that if we delete a $C_{n}$-link model with bands $A$, we have a diagram of $K_{1}^{\prime}$ and if we delete a $C_{n}$-link model with bands $B$, we have a diagram of $K_{2}^{\prime}$ as in Fig. 28.


Fig. 28

We cannnot change the order of bands incident to the same $C_{n}$-link model on $K^{\prime}$. However we can change the order of the band $b_{k}^{\prime}$ and the band $b_{i}(i, k=0,1, \ldots, n)$ in Fig. 28 by sliding the bands on $K^{\prime}$. Then we may suppose that the band $b_{n-1}^{\prime}$ exists and the band $b_{n}^{\prime}$ does not exist between the bands $b_{0}$ and $b_{1}$.

Let $m^{\prime}$ be an even natural number more than $m+n$. We transform $K^{\prime}$ into the knot in Fig. 29. Fig. 29 shows the case $n=3$.

The knot in Fig. 29 is considered to be obtained from $K^{\prime}$ by performing $C_{m^{\prime}-n^{-}}$ moves two times. By $J_{j}$, we denote the knot that is obtained from $K^{\prime}$ by performing the moves above $j$ times as shown in Fig. 30.


Fig. 29


Fig. 30

The knot $J_{j}$ satisfies that if we delete a $C_{n}$-link model with bands $A$, we have a diagram of $K_{1}^{\prime}$ and if we delete a $C_{n}$-link model with bands $B$, we have a diagram of $K_{2}^{\prime}$. Then we have $d_{C_{n}}\left(K_{1}, J_{j}\right)=q$ and $d_{C_{n}}\left(J_{j}, K_{2}\right)=p-q$. Since $m^{\prime}-n>m$ and by Theorem 1.1,

$$
v_{i}\left(J_{j}\right)=v_{i}\left(J_{k}\right)(i=1,2, \ldots, m, j \neq k, j, k=1,2, \ldots)
$$

By Theorem 3.6, we have

$$
v_{m^{\prime}}\left(J_{j}\right)-v_{m^{\prime}}\left(J_{j+1}\right)= \pm v_{m^{\prime}}\left(T_{\sigma}\right),
$$

where $T_{\sigma}$ is a one-branch tree diagram of order $m^{\prime}$ that satisfies the condition in Lemma 4.1. By Lemma 4.1, we have

$$
a_{m^{\prime}}\left(J_{j}\right) \neq a_{m^{\prime}}\left(J_{k}\right)(j \neq k, j, k=1,2, \ldots) .
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.8.
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