# Algebraic and algorithmic study of some generalized functions associated with a real polynomial (or a real analytic function)

Toshinori Oaku

Tokyo Woman's Christian University

Sevilla, September 2014

## Distributions (generalized functions)

#### **Definition**

Let  $C_0^{\infty}(U)$  be the set of the  $C^{\infty}$  functions on an open set U of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with compact support. A distribution u on U is a linear mapping

$$u: C_0^\infty(U) \ni \varphi \longmapsto \langle u, \varphi \rangle \in \mathbb{C}$$

such that  $\lim_{j\to\infty}\langle u, \varphi_j\rangle = 0$  holds for a sequence  $\{\varphi_j\}$  of  $C_0^\infty(U)$  if there is a compact set  $K\subset U$  such that  $\varphi_j=0$  on  $U\setminus K$  and

$$\lim_{j\to\infty}\sup_{x\in U}|\partial^{\alpha}\varphi_{j}(x)|=0\quad\text{for any }\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^{n},$$

where  $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  and  $\partial^{\alpha} = \partial_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial_n^{\alpha_n}$  with  $\partial_j = \partial/\partial x_j$ . The set of the distributions on U is denoted by  $\mathcal{D}'(U)$ .

## Differential operators

Let  $\mathcal{D}_X$  be the sheaf of linear differential operators (of finite order) with holomorphic coefficients on  $X:=\mathbb{C}^n$ , and  $\mathcal{D}_M:=\mathcal{D}_X|_M$  be its sheaf-theoretic restriction to  $M:=\mathbb{R}^n$ . These are coherent sheaves of rings on X and on M respectively. A section P of  $\mathcal{D}_M$  on an open set  $U\subset M$  is written in a finite sum

$$P = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_{\alpha}(x) \partial^{\alpha} \quad (a_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{A}_M(U)),$$

where  $A_M := \mathcal{O}_X|_M$  denotes the sheaf of real analytic functions on M.

The derivative  $\partial_k u$  of a distribution u on U with respect to  $x_k$  is defined by

$$\langle \partial_k u, \varphi \rangle = -\langle u, \partial_k \varphi \rangle$$
 for any  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(U)$ .

For a  $C^{\infty}$  function a on U, the product au is defined by

$$\langle au, \varphi \rangle = \langle u, a\varphi \rangle$$
 for any  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(U)$ .

In particular, by these actions of the derivations and the polynomial multiplications, the sheaf  $\mathcal{D}'$  of distributions has a natural structure of left  $\mathcal{D}_M$ -module.

Example: Dirac's delta function  $\delta(x)$  is the distribution defined by

$$\langle \delta(x), \varphi(x) \rangle = \varphi(0) \quad (\forall \varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})).$$

 $\delta(x)$  satisfies a holonomic system  $x\delta(x)=0$ .

## Power product of real analytic functions as distribution

Let  $f_1,\ldots,f_p$  be real-valued real analytic functions defined on an open set  $U\subset M$ . We assume that the set  $\{x\in U\mid f_i(x)>0\ (i=1,\ldots,p)\}$  is not empty. Then the distribution  $v=(f_1)_+^{\lambda_1}\cdots(f_p)_+^{\lambda_p}$  on U is defined to be

$$\langle v, \varphi \rangle = \int_{U_+} f_1(x)^{\lambda_1} \cdots f_p(x)^{\lambda_p} \varphi(x) dx$$

with  $U_+ = \{x \in U \mid f_j(x) \le 0 \quad (1 \le j \le p)\}$  for  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(U)$  if  $\text{Re } \lambda_i \ge 0$  for each i.

Moreover, v, that is,  $\langle v, \varphi \rangle$  for any  $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , is holomorphic in  $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_p)$  on the domain

$$\Omega_+ := \{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p) \in \mathbb{C}^p \mid \text{Re } \lambda_i > 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, p)\}$$

and is continuous in  $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_p)$  on the closure of  $\Omega_+$ . In particular,

$$(f_1)^0_+\cdots (f_p)^0_+=Y(f_1)\cdots Y(f_p),$$

where Y(t) is the Heaviside function; i.e., Y(t) = 1 for t > 0 and Y(t) = 0 for  $t \le 0$ .

## Functional equations

## Theorem (Kashiwara)

Let f be a holomorphic function defined on an open neighborhood of  $x_0 \in X$ . Then there exist a germ P(s) of  $\mathcal{D}_X[s]$  at  $x_0$ , and  $b_{f,x_0}(s) \in \mathbb{C}[s]$  such that

$$P(s)f^{s+1}=b_{f,x_0}(s)f^s$$

holds formally and  $b_{f,x_0}(s) \neq 0$  is of minimum degree (the **Bernstein-Sato polynomial**, or the *b*-function of f at  $x_0$ ).

Then  $P(\lambda)f_+^{\lambda+1} = b_{f,x_0}(\lambda)f_+^{\lambda}$  holds on a neighborhood of  $x_0$  in M.

## Theorem (Kashiwara)

The roots of  $b_f(s)$  are negative rational numbers.

## Laurent coefficients of $f_+^{\lambda}$

Let f be a real-valued real analytic function on an open set  $U \subset M$ . Then by using the functional equation  $b(\lambda)f_+^{\lambda} = P(\lambda)f_+^{\lambda+1}$ , the distribution  $f_+^{\lambda}$  is extended to a  $\mathcal{D}'(U)$ -valued meromorphic function on  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\lambda = \lambda_0$  be a (possible) pole of  $f_+^{\lambda}$ . Then  $f_+^{\lambda}$  can be expressed as a Laurent series

$$f_+^{\lambda} = \sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} (\lambda - \lambda_0)^j u_k$$

with  $u_k \in \mathcal{D}'(U)$  and  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ . In particular,  $u_{-1}$  is called the *residue* of  $f_+^{\lambda}$  at  $\lambda_0$ , which we denote by  $\operatorname{Res}_{\lambda=\lambda_0} f_+^{\lambda}$ .

## Non-singular case

ullet If f=0 is non-singular, then  $f_+^\lambda$  has only simple poles at negative integers with

$$\operatorname{Res}_{\lambda=-k-1} f_+^{\lambda} = \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \delta^{(k)}(f) \quad (k=0,1,2,\dots).$$

 $\delta(f)$  represents the layer (the Dirac delta function) concentrated on the hypersurface f=0,

 $\delta^{(1)}(f) = \delta'(f)$  represents the double layer (dipole),...

Cf. Gelfand-Shilov: 'Generalized Functions, Vol. 1'

## Singular case

#### Definition

For a non-negative integer k, set

$$\begin{split} \delta_+^{(k)}(f) &:= (-1)^k k! \operatorname{Res}_{\lambda = -k - 1} f_+^{\lambda}, \\ \delta_-^{(k)}(f) &:= k! \operatorname{Res}_{\lambda = -k - 1} f_-^{\lambda} = k! \operatorname{Res}_{\lambda = -k - 1} (-f)_+^{\lambda} = (-1)^k \delta_+^{(k)}(-f). \end{split}$$

Then we have

#### Proposition

- (1)  $f^{k+1}\delta_{\pm}^{(k)}(f) = 0 \quad (k \ge 0).$
- (2)  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} Y(\pm f) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \delta_{\pm}(f)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .
- (3)  $f \delta_{\pm}^{(k)}(f) = -k \delta_{\pm}^{(k-1)}(f) \quad (k \ge 1).$

#### Theorem (well-known?)

Each Laurent coefficient  $u_k$  satisfies a holonomic left  $\mathcal{D}_M$ -module.

#### Problems:

- Determine the annihilator  $\operatorname{Ann}_{\mathcal{D}_M} u_k = \{ P \in \mathcal{D}_M \mid Pu_k = 0 \}.$
- Is it a coherent left ideal of  $\mathcal{D}_M$ ?
- If so, what is its characteristic cycle?

Remark: Set  $X = \mathbb{C}$  and  $M = \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\operatorname{Ann}_{(\mathcal{D}_M)_{x_0}} Y(x) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (\mathcal{D}_M)_{x_0} \partial_x & \text{if } x_0 > 0 \\ (\mathcal{D}_M)_{x_0} x \partial_x & \text{if } x_0 = 0 \\ (\mathcal{D}_M)_{x_0} & \text{if } x_0 < 0 \end{array} \right.$$

Hence  $\operatorname{Ann}_{\mathcal{D}_M} Y(x)$  is not coherent as sheaf of left ideals of  $\mathcal{D}_M$ .

## Normal crossing case

Let  $f_1, \ldots, f_m$  be (real-valued) real analytic functions defined on a neighborhood of  $x_0 \in M$  such that  $df_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge df_m \neq 0$  at  $x_0$ . Let

$$(f_1 \cdots f_m)_+^{\lambda} = (\lambda + 1)^{-m} u_{-m} + (\lambda + 1)^{-m+1} u_{-m+k}$$
  
 
$$+ \cdots + (\lambda + 1)^{-1} u_1 + u_0 + (\lambda + 1) u_1 + \cdots$$

be the Laurent expansion about  $\lambda = -1$ . Let  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  be real analytic vector fields defined on a neighborhood of  $x_0$  which are linearly independent at  $x_0$  and satisfy

$$v_i(f_j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{(if } i = j \le m) \\ 0 & \text{(otherwise)} \end{cases}$$

#### **Theorem**

For  $k=0,1,\ldots,m-1$ , the annihilator  $\operatorname{Ann}_{(\mathcal{D}_X)_{x_0}}u_{-m+k}=\{P\in(\mathcal{D}_X)_{x_0}\mid Pu=0\}$  is generated by  $f_{j_1}\cdots f_{j_{k+1}}\quad (1\leq j_1<\cdots< j_{k+1}\leq m), \\ f_1v_1-f_iv_i\quad (2\leq i\leq m),\quad v_i\quad (m+1\leq j\leq n).$ 

#### Corollary

The sheaf  $\operatorname{Ann}_{\mathcal{D}_M} u_{-n+k}$  of left ideals of  $\mathcal{D}_M$  is coherent on a neighborhood of  $x_0 \in M$  for each  $k = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$ .

The theorem above follows from the special case below:

#### **Theorem**

Let

$$(x_1 \cdots x_n)_+^{\lambda} = (\lambda + 1)^{-n} u_{-n} + (\lambda + 1)^{-n+1} u_{-n+1} + \cdots + (\lambda + 1)^{-1} u_{-1} + u_0 + (\lambda + 1) u_1 + \cdots$$

be the Laurent expansion of the distribution  $(x_1 \cdots x_n)_+^{\lambda}$  with respect to the holomorphic parameter  $\lambda$  about  $\lambda = -1$ . Then for  $k = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$ , the annihilator of  $u_{-n+k}$ 

$$\operatorname{Ann}_{(\mathcal{D}_M)_0} u_{-n+k} = \{ P \in (\mathcal{D}_M)_0 \mid P u_{-n+k} = 0 \}$$

is generated by

$$x_{j_1} \cdots x_{j_{k+1}} \quad (1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_{k+1} \leq n), \quad x_1 \partial_1 - x_i \partial_i \quad (2 \leq i \leq n).$$

#### **Proof**

We set  $\mathcal{D}_0 := (\mathcal{D}_X)_0$ . In one variable t, we have

$$\begin{split} t_{+}^{\lambda} &= (\lambda + 1)^{-1} \partial_{t} t_{+}^{\lambda + 1} \\ &= (\lambda + 1)^{-1} \partial_{t} \left\{ Y(t) + (\lambda + 1) \log t_{+} + \frac{1}{2} (\lambda + 1)^{2} (\log t_{+})^{2} + \cdots \right\} \\ &= (\lambda + 1)^{-1} \delta(t) + \partial_{t} \log t_{+} + \frac{1}{2} (\lambda + 1) \partial_{t} (\log t_{+})^{2} + \cdots , \end{split}$$

where  $(\log t_+)^m$  is the distribution defined by the pairing

$$\langle (\log t_+)^m, \, \varphi \rangle = \int_0^\infty (\log t)^m \varphi(t) \, dt$$

for  $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $m = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ 

Let us introduce the following notations:

 $\bullet$  For a nonnegative integer j, we set

$$h_j(t) = \begin{cases} \delta(t) & (j=0), \\ \frac{1}{j!} \partial_t (\log t_+)^j & (j \geq 1) \end{cases}$$

with  $\partial_t = \partial/\partial_t$  and

$$h_{\alpha}(x) = h_{\alpha_1}(x_1) \cdots h_{\alpha_n}(x_n)$$

for 
$$\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$$
 with  $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ .

• For a multi-index  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ , we set

$$|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n, \quad [\alpha] = \max\{\alpha_i \mid 1 \le i \le n\}.$$

•  $S(n) = {\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n) \in {1, -1}^n \mid \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_n = 1}.$ 

Since

$$(x_1\cdots x_n)_+^{\lambda}=\sum_{\sigma\in S(n)}(\sigma_1x_1)_+^{\lambda}\cdots(\sigma_nx_n)_+^{\lambda},$$

we have

$$u_{-n+k}(x) = \sum_{\sigma \in S(n)} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} h_{\alpha}(\sigma x),$$

and in particular,

$$u_{-n}(x) = \sum_{\sigma \in S(n)} \delta(\sigma_1 x_1) \cdots \delta(\sigma_n x_n) = 2^{n-1} \delta(x_1) \cdots \delta(x_n).$$

It follows that  $\mathrm{Ann}_{\mathcal{D}}u_{-n}$  is generated by  $x_1,\ldots,x_n$ . This proves the assertion for k=0 since  $x_1\partial_1-x_i\partial_i=\partial_1x_1-\partial_ix_i$  belongs to the left ideal of  $\mathcal{D}_0$  generated by  $x_1,\ldots,x_n$ .

We shall prove the assertion by induction on k. Assume  $k \geq 1$  and  $P \in \mathcal{D}_0$  annihilates  $u_{-n+k}$ , that is,  $Pu_{-n+k} = 0$ . By division, there exist  $Q_1, \ldots, Q_r, R \in \mathcal{D}_0$  such that

$$P = Q_1 \partial_1 x_1 + \dots + Q_n \partial_n x_n + R,$$
  $R = \sum_{\alpha_1 \beta_1 = \dots = \alpha_n \beta_n = 0} a_{\alpha, \beta} x^{\alpha} \partial^{\beta} \qquad (a_{\alpha, \beta} \in \mathbb{C}).$ 

Since

$$u_{-n+k}(x) = \sum_{\sigma \in S(n)} \sum_{|\alpha|=k, |\alpha|=1} h_{\alpha}(\sigma x) + \sum_{\sigma \in S(n)} \sum_{|\alpha|=k, |\alpha| \ge 2} h_{\alpha}(\sigma x), \quad (1)$$

we have

$$u_{-n+k}(x) = 2^{n-k-1}\delta(x_1)\cdots\delta(x_{n-k})h_1(x_{n-k+1})\cdots h_1(x_n)$$
  
=  $2^{n-k-1}\delta(x_1)\cdots\delta(x_{n-k})\frac{1}{x_{n-k+1}}\cdots\frac{1}{x_n}$ 

on the domain  $x_{n-k+1} > 0, \ldots, x_n > 0$ . Hence

$$0 = Pu_{-n+k} = Ru_{-n+k}$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_{n-k} = 0, \alpha_{n-k+1}\beta_{n-k+1} = \dots = \alpha_n\beta_n = 0} (-1)^{\beta_{n-k+1} + \dots + \beta_n}$$

$$\times \beta_{n-k+1}! \cdots \beta_n! a_{\alpha,\beta}$$

$$\times \delta^{(\beta_1)}(x_1) \cdots \delta^{(\beta_{n-k})}(x_{n-k}) x_{n-k+1}^{\alpha_{n-k+1} - \beta_{n-k+1} - 1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n - \beta_n - 1}$$

holds there.

This implies  $a_{\alpha,\beta}=0$  if  $\alpha_1=\cdots=\alpha_{n-k}=0$ . In the same way, we know that  $a_{\alpha,\beta}=0$  if the components of  $\alpha$  are zero except at most k components. This implies that R is contained in the left ideal generated by  $x_{j_1}\cdots x_{j_{k+1}}$  with  $1\leq j_1<\cdots< j_{k+1}\leq n$ . In the right-hand-side of (1), each term contains the product of at least n-k delta functions. Hence  $x_{j_1}\cdots x_{j_{k+1}}$  with  $1\leq j_1<\cdots< j_{k+1}\leq n$ , and consequently R also, annihilates  $u_{-n+k}(x)$ . Hence we have

$$0 = Pu_{-n+k} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Q_i \partial_i x_i u_{-n+k}.$$

On the other hand, since

$$\partial_i x_i (x_1 \cdots x_n)_+^{\lambda} = (x_i \partial_i + 1)(x_1 \cdots x_n)_+^{\lambda} = (\lambda + 1)(x_1 \cdots x_n)_+^{\lambda},$$

we have

$$\partial_i x_i u_{-k} = u_{-k-1}$$
  $(k \le n-1, \ 1 \le i \le n)$ 

and consequently

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Q_{i} \partial_{i} x_{i} u_{-n+k} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Q_{i} u_{-n+k-1}.$$

By the induction hypothesis,  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} Q_i$  belongs to the left ideal of  $\mathcal{D}_0$  generated by

$$x_{j_1} \cdots x_{j_k} \quad (1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_k \leq n), \quad x_1 \partial_1 - x_i \partial_i \quad (2 \leq i \leq n).$$

Then we have

$$P = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Q_i \partial_1 x_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{n} Q_i (\partial_i x_i - \partial_1 x_1) + R.$$

If  $j_1 > 1$ , we have

$$x_{j_1}\cdots x_{j_k}\partial_1 x_1=\partial_1 x_1 x_{j_1}\cdots x_{j_k}.$$

If  $j_1 = 1$ , let l be an integer with  $2 \le l \le n$  such that  $l \ne j_2, \ldots, l \ne j_k$ . Then we have

$$x_{j_1} \cdots x_{j_k} \partial_1 x_1 = x_{j_2} \cdots x_{j_k} x_1 \partial_1 x_1$$
  
=  $x_{j_2} \cdots x_{j_k} x_1 (\partial_1 x_1 - \partial_I x_I) + \partial_I x_{j_2} \cdots x_{j_k} x_1 x_I.$ 

We conclude that *P* belongs to the left ideal generated by

$$x_{j_1} \cdots x_{j_{k+1}} \quad (1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_{k+1} \leq n), \quad x_1 \partial_1 - x_i \partial_i \quad (2 \leq i \leq n).$$

Conversely it is easy to see that these generators annihilate  $u_{-n+k}$  since

$$x_1\partial_1(x_1\cdots x_n)_+^{\lambda}=x_i\partial_i(x_1\cdots x_n)_+^{\lambda}=\lambda(x_1\cdots x_n)_+^{\lambda}.$$

## The characteristic cycle

For a subset J of  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ , set

$$X_J := \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X = \mathbb{C}^n \mid x_j = 0 \text{ for any } j \in J\}$$

and let  $T_{X_J}^*X$  be its conormal bundle.

#### **Theorem**

Under the same assumptions as the theorem above, the characteristic cycle of  $\mathcal{D}_M u_{-n+k} = \mathcal{D}_M / \mathrm{Ann}_{\mathcal{D}_M} u_{-n+k}$  is

$$\sum_{|J| \geq n-k} (k+1-n+|J|) T_{X_J}^* X$$

on a neighborhood of  $M \times_X T_{X_i}^* X$ .

## Comparison with local cohomology

Let f(x) be holomorphic on an open set  $\tilde{U}$  of  $X = \mathbb{C}^n$ . The (algebraic) local cohomology group supported by f = 0 is defined to be the sheaf

$$\mathcal{H}^1_{[f=0]}(\mathcal{O}_X) = \mathcal{O}_X[f^{-1}]/\mathcal{O}_X,$$

which consists of residue classes  $[af^{-k}]$  modulo  $\mathcal{O}_X$  with an analytic function a and a non-negative integer k.

Set  $U = \tilde{U} \cap M$ . We define an  $\mathcal{A}_M$ -homomorphism

$$\rho : \mathcal{H}^1_{[f=0]}(\mathcal{O}_X)|_U \ni [af^{-k}] \longmapsto \operatorname{Res}_{\lambda=0} af_+^{\lambda-k} \in \mathcal{D}_M'|_U$$

for  $a(x) \in \mathcal{A}_M$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Note that supp  $\rho(u) \subset \{f = 0\}$ .

#### **Theorem**

#### Assume

(A) For any negative integer -k,  $\lambda = -k$  is at most a simple pole of  $f_{+}^{\lambda}$ .

Then  $\rho$  is a homomorphism of sheaves of left  $\mathcal{D}_M|_U$ -modules. In particular,

$$\operatorname{Ann}_{\mathcal{D}_M} u \subset \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathcal{D}_M} \rho(u)$$

holds for any  $u \in \mathcal{H}^1_{[f=0]}(\mathcal{O}_X)|_U$ , where  $\mathcal{D}_M := \mathcal{D}_X|_M$ .

### Corollary

#### Assume

(A')  $\tilde{b}_{f,y_0}(-k)$  does not vanish for any negative integer -k and for any point  $y_0$  of U such that  $f(y_0) = 0$ .

Then  $\rho$  is a homomorphism of sheaves of left  $\mathcal{D}_{M}$ -modules. In particular,

$$\operatorname{Ann}_{\mathcal{D}_M} u \subset \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathcal{D}_M} \rho(u)$$

holds for any  $u \in \mathcal{H}^1_{[f=0]}(\mathcal{O}_X)|_U$ .

Now let us introduce the following condition:

#### Condition (B):

Let f(x) be real analytic on a neighborhood of  $x_0 \in M$ . By a real analytic local coordinate transformation, f(x) can be written in the form

$$f(x) = c(x)(x_1^m + a_1(x')x_1^{m-1} + \cdots + a_m(x'))$$

with  $m \geq 1$  and real-valued real analytic functions c(x) and  $a_j(x')$  with  $x' = (x_2, \ldots, x_n)$  which are defined on a neighborhood of  $x_0 = (0, x'_0)$  such that  $c(x_0) \neq 0$  and  $a_j(x'_0) = 0$  for  $1 \leq j \leq m$ . Moreover, for any neighborhood V of  $x_0$  in M there exists  $y'_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  such that  $(0, y'_0) \in V$  and the equation

$$x_1^m + a_1(y_0')x_1^{m-1} + \cdots + a_m(y_0') = 0$$

in  $x_1$  has m distinct real roots.

#### **Theorem**

Assume (B). Then

$$\operatorname{Ann}_{(\mathcal{D}_X)_{x_0}}\rho(u)\subset\operatorname{Ann}_{(\mathcal{D}_X)_{x_0}}u$$

holds for any germ  $u \in \mathcal{H}^1_{[f=0]}(\mathcal{O}_X)_{x_0}$ .

#### Corollary

- (B)  $\Rightarrow \rho$  is an injective  $A_M$ -homomorphism.
- (A) and (B)  $\Rightarrow \rho$  is an injective  $\mathcal{D}_M$ -homomorphism.

## **Examples**

#### Example 1

Let  $f_1, \ldots, f_m$   $(m \ge 2)$  be real analytic functions such that  $df_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge df_m \ne 0$  at  $x_0 \in M$ . Then  $f = f_1 \cdots f_m$  satisfies (B) (but not (A)). In fact  $\operatorname{Ann}_{\mathcal{D}_{x_0}} \operatorname{Res}_{\lambda = -1} f_+^{\lambda}$  is generated by

$$f$$
,  $f_1v_1-f_iv_i$   $(2 \leq i \leq m)$ ,  $v_j$   $(m+1 \leq j \leq n)$ ,

while  $\operatorname{Ann}_{\mathcal{D}_{xn}}[1/f]$  is generated by

$$f$$
,  $v_i f_i = f_i v_i + 1$   $(1 \le i \le m)$ ,  $v_j$   $(m+1 \le j \le n)$ .

In particular,  $\operatorname{Ann}_{\mathcal{D}_M} \rho([1/f]) \subsetneq \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathcal{D}_M}[1/f]$ .

#### Example 2

 $f=x_1^2x_2^2+x_3^p$  with n=3 and an odd integer  $p\geq 3$  satisfies (A) and (B). In fact, the reduced b-function  $b_{f,0}(s)/(s+1)$  of f at the origin does not have integral roots (T. Yano).

By a coordinate transformation  $y_1 = x_1 + x_2$ ,  $y_2 = x_1 - x_2$ ,  $y_3 = x_3$ , f takes the form

$$f = (y_1^2 - y_2^2)^2 + y_3^p = y_1^4 - 2y_1^2y_2^2 + y_2^4 + y_3^p.$$

Hence the equation f = 0 in  $y_1$  has four distinct real roots if and only if  $y_3 < 0$  and  $y_2^4 + y_3^p > 0$ .

Hence we have  $\operatorname{Ann}_{\mathcal{D}_M} u = \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathcal{D}_M} \rho(u)$  for any section u of  $\mathcal{H}^1_{[f=0]}(\mathcal{O}_X)|_M$ .

For example, if p=3, the characteristic cycle of  $\mathcal{H}^1_{[f=0]}(\mathcal{O}_X)=\mathcal{D}_X[f^{-1}]$  is given by

$$2T^*_{\{x_1=x_2=x_3=0\}\setminus\{0\}}\mathbb{C}^3+T^*_{\{x_1=x_3=0\}\setminus\{0\}}\mathbb{C}^3+T^*_{\{x_2=x_3=0\}\setminus\{0\}}\mathbb{C}^3+T^*_{Y'}\mathbb{C}^3$$

with

$$Y' := \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \mid x_1^2 x_2^2 + x_3^3 = 0\} \setminus \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \mid x_1 x_2 = x_3 = 0\}.$$

#### Example 3

 $f=x_1(x_2^2+x_3^2+x_4^2)$  with n=4 and  $u:=[f^{-1}]$ . Then  $f^s$  satisfies a functional equation

$$\frac{1}{4}\partial_1(\partial_2^2+\partial_3^2+\partial_4^2)f^{s+1}=(s+1)^2\left(s+\frac{3}{2}\right)f^s.$$

Let

$$f_+^{\lambda} = (\lambda + 1)^{-2} v_{-2}(x) + (\lambda + 1)^{-1} v_{-1}(x) + v_0(x) + \cdots$$

be the Laurent expansion around  $\lambda = -1$ . Then we have

$$\begin{split} v_{-2}(x) &= \frac{1}{2} \partial_1 (\partial_2^2 + \partial_3^2 + \partial_4^2) Y(x_1) = 0, \\ v_{-1}(x) &= \frac{1}{4} \partial_1 (\partial_2^2 + \partial_3^2 + \partial_4^2) \left\{ \lim_{\lambda \to -1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \left( \left( \lambda + \frac{3}{2} \right)^{-1} f_+^{\lambda + 1} \right) \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \partial_1 (\partial_2^2 + \partial_3^2 + \partial_4^2) \left\{ -4 Y(x_1) \right. \\ &+ 2 Y(x_1) (\log x_1 + \log(x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2)) \\ &= \delta(x_1) (x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2)^{-1}. \end{split}$$

Thus  $\lambda = -k$  is a simple pole of  $f_+^{\lambda}$  for any positive integer k. Hence (A) is satisfied with  $U = M = \mathbb{R}^4$ .

 $\mathrm{Ann}_{\mathcal{D}_X} u$  is generated by

$$x_1(x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2), \quad x_1\partial_1 + 1, \quad x_2\partial_2 + x_3\partial_3 + x_4\partial_4 + 2, x_2\partial_3 - x_3\partial_2, \quad x_2\partial_4 - x_4\partial_2, \quad x_3\partial_4 - x_4\partial_3.$$

 $\operatorname{Ann}_{\mathcal{D}_M} \rho(u)$  is generated by

$$x_1$$
,  $x_2\partial_2 + x_3\partial_3 + x_4\partial_4 + 2$ ,  $x_2\partial_3 - x_3\partial_2$ ,  $x_2\partial_4 - x_4\partial_2$ ,  $x_3\partial_4 - x_4\partial_3$ .

Hence  $\operatorname{Ann}_{\mathcal{D}_M} u \subsetneq \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathcal{D}_M} \rho(u)$ .

The characteristic cycle of  $\mathcal{H}^1_{[f=0]}(\mathcal{O}_X) = \mathcal{D}_X u$  is

$$\begin{split} T^*_{\{0\}}\mathbb{C}^4 + T^*_{\{x_2 = x_3 = x_4 = 0\} \setminus \{0\}}\mathbb{C}^4 + T^*_{\{x_1 = x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 = 0\} \setminus \{0\}}\mathbb{C}^4 \\ + T^*_{\{x_1 = 0, x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 \neq 0\}}\mathbb{C}^4 + T^*_{\{x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 = 0, x_1 \neq 0, (x_2, x_3, x_4) \neq (0, 0, 0)\}}\mathbb{C}^4, \end{split}$$

while that of  $\mathcal{D}_M \rho(u)$  is

$$T_{\{0\}}^*\mathbb{C}^4 + T_{\{x_1=x_2^2+x_3^2+x_4^2=0\}\setminus\{0\}}^*\mathbb{C}^4 + T_{\{x_1=0,x_2^2+x_3^2+x_4^2\neq0\}}^*\mathbb{C}^4.$$

# Normal forms satisfying (B) at 0

Among the normal forms of real hypersurface singularities in  $M = \mathbb{R}^n$ , at least the following ones satisfy the condition (B) at the origin, where  $q(x_k, \ldots, x_n)$  denotes a non-degenerate quadratic form in the variables  $x_k, \ldots, x_n$  and a is a real constant:

• 
$$x_1^2 + \cdots + x_p^2 - x_{p+1}^2 - \cdots - x_n^2$$
  $(1 \le p \le n-1)$ ,

• 
$$D_4^-: x_1^2x_2-x_2^3+q(x_3,\ldots,x_n),$$

• 
$$E_7: x_1^3 + x_1x_2^3 + q(x_3, \ldots, x_n),$$

• 
$$P_8^{\pm}$$
:  $x_1^3 + ax_1^2x_3 \pm x_1x_3^2 + x_2^2x_3 + q(x_4, \dots, x_n)$  with  $-a^2 \pm 4 < 0$ ,

• 
$$J_{10}^{\pm}$$
:  $x_1^3 + ax_1^2x_2^2 \pm x_1x_2^4 + q(x_3, \dots, x_n)$  with  $-a^2 \pm 4 < 0$ ,

• 
$$J_{10+k}^{\pm}$$
:  $x_1^3 \pm x_1^2 x_2^2 + a x_2^{6+k} + q(x_3, \ldots, x_n)$  with  $k \ge 1$  and  $(\pm a < 0 \text{ or } k \text{: odd})$ ,

- $P_{8+k}^{\pm}$ :  $x_1^3 \pm x_1^2 x_3 + x_2^2 x_3 + a x_3^{k+3} + q(x_4, \dots, x_n)$  with  $k \ge 1$  and  $a \ne 0$  and  $(\pm a < 0 \text{ or } k: \text{ odd})$ ,
- $R_{l,m}$ :  $x_1(x_1^2 + x_2x_3) \pm x_2^l \pm ax_3^m + q(x_4, \dots, x_n)$  with  $a \neq 0, m \geq l \geq 5$ ,
- $\tilde{R}_m^-$ :  $x_1(-x_1^2+x_2^2+x_3^2)+ax_2^m+q(x_4,\ldots,x_n)$  with  $a\neq 0,\ m\geq 5,$
- $E_{12}$ :  $x_1^3 + x_2^7 \pm x_3^2 + ax_1x_2^5 + q(x_4, \dots, x_n)$ ,
- $E_{13}$ :  $x_1^3 + x_1x_2^5 \pm x_3^2 + ax_2^8 + q(x_4, \ldots, x_n)$ ,
- $E_{14}: x_1^3 \pm x_2^8 \pm x_3^2 + ax_1x_2^6 + q(x_4, \ldots, x_n),$
- $Z_{11}: x_1^3x_2+x_2^5\pm x_3^2+ax_1x_2^4+q(x_4,\ldots,x_n),$
- $Z_{12}$ :  $x_1^3x_2 + x_1x_2^4 \pm x_3^2 + ax_1^2x_2^3 + q(x_4, \ldots, x_n)$ ,
- $Z_{13}$ :  $x_1^3x_2 \pm x_2^6 \pm x_3^2 + ax_1x_2^5 + q(x_4, \ldots, x_n)$ ,
- $W_{12}$ :  $\pm x_1^4 + x_2^5 \pm x_3^2 + ax_1^2x_2^3 + q(x_4, \dots, x_n)$ ,
- $W_{13}$ :  $\pm x_1^4 + x_1 x_2^4 \pm x_3^2 + a x_2^6 + q(x_4, \ldots, x_n)$ ,
- $Q_{11}: x_1^3 + x_2^2 x_3 \pm x_1 x_3^3 + a x_2^5 + g(x_4, \dots, x_n).$

## Algorithm

Let f be a real polynomial in  $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  and  $D_n$  be the n-th Weyl algebra; i.e., the ring of differential operators with polynomial coefficients.

#### Aim

Compute a holonomic system for the Laurent coefficient  $u_k$   $(k \in \mathbb{Z})$  for  $f_+^{\lambda}$  about  $\lambda_0$ . (i.e. to find a left ideal  $I \subset \operatorname{Ann}_{D_n} u_k$  such that  $D_n/I$  is holonomic.)

## Step 1

- (1) Take  $m \in \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  such that  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_0 + m \geq 0$ .
- (2) Find a functional equation  $b_f(s)f^s = P(s)f^{s+1}$ .
- (3)  $Q(s) := P(s)P(s+1)\cdots P(s+m-1),$   $b(s) := b_f(s)b_f(s+1)\cdots b_f(s+m-1).$  Then we have  $b(\lambda)f_+^{\lambda} = Q(\lambda)f_+^{\lambda+m}.$

#### Step 2

Factorize b(s) as  $b(s) = c(s)(s - \lambda_0)^l$  with  $c(\lambda_0) \neq 0$  and  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then we have

$$f_+^{\lambda} = (\lambda - \lambda_0)^{-l} c(\lambda)^{-1} Q(\lambda) f_+^{\lambda+m} = \sum_{k=-l}^{\infty} (\lambda - \lambda_0)^k u_k(x),$$

where  $u_k(x) \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  are given by

$$u_k(x) = \frac{1}{(l+k)!} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \right)^{l+k} (c(\lambda)^{-1} Q(\lambda) f_+^{\lambda+m}) \right]_{\lambda = \lambda_0}$$
$$= \sum_{j=0}^{l+k} Q_j (f_+^{\lambda_0 + m} (\log f)^j)$$

with 
$$Q_j := rac{1}{j!(l+k-j)!} \left[ \left(rac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}
ight)^{l+k-j} (c(\lambda)^{-1}Q(\lambda)) 
ight]_{\lambda=\lambda_0}.$$

# Algorithm (continued)

## Step 3

Compute a holonomic system for  $(f_+^{\lambda}, \dots, f_+^{\lambda}(\log f)^{k+l})$  as follows:

- (1) Compute a set  $G_0$  of generators of the annihilator  $\mathrm{Ann}_{D_n[s]}f^s$ .
- (2) Let  $e_1=(1,0,\ldots,0), \cdots, e_{k+l}=(0,\ldots,0,1)$  be the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{Z}^{k+l+1}$ . For each  $P(s)\in G_0$  and an integer j with  $0\leq j\leq k+l$ , set

$$P^{(j)}(s) := \sum_{i=0}^{j} {j \choose i} \frac{\partial^{j-i} P(s)}{\partial s^{j-i}} e_{i+1} \in (D_n[s])^{k+l+1}.$$

(3) Set  $G_1 := \{ P^{(j)}(\lambda_0 + m) \mid P(s) \in G_0, 0 \le j \le k + l \}.$ 

The output  $G_1$  of Step 3 generates a left  $D_n$ -module N such that  $(D_n)^{k+l+1}/N$  is holonomic and

$$P_0 f_+^{\lambda_0 + m} + P_1 (f_+^{\lambda_0 + m} \log f) + \dots + P_{k+l} (f_+^{\lambda_0 + m} (\log f)^{k+l}) = 0$$

holds for any  $P = (P_0, \ldots, P_{k+l}) \in G_1$ .

Remark Step 3 is essentially differentiation of the equations

$$P(s)f_+^s = 0 \quad (P(s) \in \operatorname{Ann}_{D_n[s]}f^s)$$

with respect to s.

# Algorithm (the final step)

## Step 4

Let N be the left  $D_n$ -submodule of  $(D_n)^{l+k+1}$  generated by the output  $G_1$  of Step 3 and let  $Q_0, Q_1, \ldots, Q_{l+k}$  be the operators computed in Step 2. Compute a set  $G_2$  of generators of the left ideal

$$I := \{ P \in D_n \mid (PQ_0, PQ_1, \dots, PQ_{l+k}) \in N \}$$

by using quotient or syzygy computation.

## Output

The ideal I annihilates the distribution  $u_k$  and  $D_n/I$  is holonomic.

## Holonomicity of the output

#### **Theorem**

Let I be the left ideal of  $D_n$  computed by the preceding algorithm. Then  $D_n/I$  is holonomic.

#### Sketch of the proof:

(1) The left  $D_n$ -module  $(D_n)^{k+l+1}/N$  is holonomic. In fact, set

$$N_j := \{(P_0, \dots, P_j, 0, \dots, 0) \in N\}.$$

Then  $N_j/N_{j-1} \simeq \operatorname{Ann}_{D_n[s]} f^s/(s-\lambda_0-m) \operatorname{Ann}_{D_n[s]} f^s$  is holonomic.

(2)  $D_n/I$  with  $I:=\{P\in D_n\mid (PQ_0,PQ_1,\ldots,PQ_{l+k})\in N\}$  is holonomic since the map  $h:D_n/I\to (D_n)^{k+l+1}/N$  defined by  $h([P])=(PQ_0,\ldots,PQ_{k+l+1})$  is an injective homomorphism of left  $D_n$ -modules.

# An example: $f = x_1^2 - x_2^2$

- The functional equation is  $(\lambda + 1)^2 f_+^{\lambda} = \frac{1}{4} (\partial_1^2 \partial_2^2) f_+^{\lambda+1}$  $\Rightarrow f_+^{\lambda}$  has poles (of order at most 2) only at  $\lambda = -1, -2, -3, \dots$
- ullet The Laurent expansion around  $\lambda=-1$  is

$$f_+^\lambda=(\lambda+1)^{-2}u_{-2}(x)+(\lambda+1)^{-1}u_{-1}(x)+u_0(x)+(\lambda+1)u_1(x)+\cdots$$
 with

$$u_{-2}(x) = \frac{1}{4}(\partial_1^2 - \partial_2^2)f_+^0 = \frac{1}{4}(\partial_1^2 - \partial_2^2)Y(f),$$
  
$$u_{-1}(x) = \frac{1}{4}(\partial_1^2 - \partial_2^2)(Y(f)\log f).$$

#### Differentiating

$$(x_2\partial_1 + x_1\partial_2)f_+^s = (x_1\partial_1 + x_2\partial_2 - 2s)f_+^s = 0$$

with respect to s, we get

$$(x_2\partial_1 + x_1\partial_2)f_+^s = 0, \quad (x_2\partial_1 + x_1\partial_2)(f_+^s \log f) = 0,$$
  
 $2f^s + (x_1\partial_1 + x_2\partial_2 - 2s)(f_+^s \log f) = 0,$   
 $(x_1\partial_1 + x_2\partial_2 - 2s)f_+^s = 0.$ 

Hence  $(Y(f), Y(f) \log f)$  satisfies a holonomic system

$$(x_2\partial_1 + x_1\partial_2)Y(f) = 0, \quad (x_2\partial_1 + x_1\partial_2)(Y(f)\log f) = 0,$$
  
 $2Y(f) + (x_1\partial_1 + x_2\partial_2)(Y(f)\log f) = 0,$   
 $(x_1\partial_1 + x_2\partial_2)Y(f) = 0.$ 

Let N be the left  $D_2$ -submodule of  $D_2^2$  genererated by these vectors of differential operators. Then

$$P \cdot (\partial_1^2 - \partial_2^2, 0) \in N \quad \Rightarrow \quad Pu_{-2} = 0,$$
  
$$P \cdot (0, \partial_1^2 - \partial_2^2) \in N \quad \Rightarrow \quad Pu_{-1} = 0.$$

By module quotient (via intersection or syzygy computation in  $D_2$ )

u<sub>−2</sub> satisfies

$$x_1u_{-2}(x) = x_2u_{-2}(x) = 0$$

Hence  $u_{-2}(x) = c\delta(x)$  ( $\exists c \in \mathbb{C}$ ).

u<sub>−1</sub> satisfies

$$(x_2\partial_1+x_1\partial_2)u_{-1}(x)=(x_1^2-x_2^2)u_{-1}(x)=0.$$

(This coincides with  $Ann_{D_2}u_{-1}$ .)